



MAKE-UP SEMINAR INSTRUCTIONS

TASK

Your task is to attend a make-up seminar on the 14th of January at [Kollaboratoriet](#) at 12:30 to discuss a number of questions that you can select from the literature seminar that you were not able to attend. If you were unable to attend both literature seminars, then you will have to prepare a response to both seminars.

INSTRUCTIONS - LITERATURE SEMINAR 2

If you missed Literature Seminar 2 – Making Globalization Work, please pick one of the following reforms suggested by Stiglitz in order to lead a discussion on for **5-10 minutes** within the seminar:

- Changes in voting structure in the IMF / World Bank
- Changes in representation
- Adopting principles of representation
- Increased transparency and more openness, including improvements in procedures
- Improvements in conflict-of-interest rules
- Enhancing the ability of developing countries to participate meaningfully in decision making
- Improved accountability
- Better judicial procedures
- Better enforcement of the international rule of law

The discussion will focus on your particular case study and we can discuss how we could apply Stiglitz's logic and ideas of reform in the context of your chosen case study.

INSTRUCTIONS - LITERATURE SEMINAR 3

If you missed Literature Seminar 3 – Doughnut Economics, please pick one of the following questions to lead a discussion on for **5-10 minutes** within the seminar:

1. In order to apply doughnut economics, we need a common agreement of shifting our cultural/social and perhaps economic incentive of growth. Do we just assume that people genuinely care about the planet? Before talking about doughnut economics shouldn't we fix our consumerist society with changing their values and understanding their role as a part of broader ecosystem of the planet?
2. Many people have consumption patterns that are not sustainable within the doughnut model. How will the shift to a new mind-set regarding consumption happen? Will it be intrinsic or will the government have to push people in the right direction?
3. What steps need to be taken to initiate a global adoption of doughnut economics?
4. Is it feasible to move entirely away from a “homo economicus” view of rationality and self-interest of people? And if so, how can this be done effectively on a societal, national or even global level?
5. Is the Doughnut universal? Should it be? Or should we be looking at models and methods of thinking which are tailored to different regions needs and governance style
6. "Bringing money into the mix, it seems, can significantly alter our regard for the living world" Do you think there's too much focus on monetary incentives to change the way people live or how firms act? Is there another way? Can it hurt more than help to focus on money in some situations (taxes, subsidies etc.)?

CAN'T ATTEND?

If you are unable to attend this date and time, please notify us at gec@cemus.uu.se and we will act accordingly.